

The Gel'fand-Tsetlin Selection Rules and Representations of Quantum Algebras

A.N. Leznov^{a,b}

*Instituto de Investigaciones en Matemáticas Aplicadas y en Sistemas
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México
Apartado Postal 48-3, 62251 Cuernavaca,
Morelos, México*

^(a) *On leave from Institute for High Energy Physics,
142284 Protvino, Moscow Region, Russia*

^(b) *Also at Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR,
141980 Dubna, Moscow Region, Russia*

Abstract

The problem of construction of irreducible representations of quantum A_n^q algebras is solved at the level of explicit integration of the linear (inhomogeneous) system in finite differences in the n -dimensional space. The general solution of this system is given explicitly and particular ones, which correspond to the irreducible representations are selected.

e-mail:

1) *leznov@ce.ifisicam.unam.mx*

1 Introduction

In the recent paper of the author [1] the problem of construction of irreducible representations of the unimodular matrix algebra A_n – the famous result of Gel'fand and Tsetlin [2] – was reformulated at the level of explicit integration of a linear system in finite differences.

It is possible to reduce all of the calculations to the solution of a linear system of equations in finite differences (or PDE's in the continuous functional group limit). What is surprising and intriguing in this approach is the fact that the results obtained at the functional group level [3] [4] (Poisson brackets of the classical Hamiltonian formalism) literally pass to those arising in the algebraic calculations. The only thing which is necessary is to replace Poisson brackets by commutators, and canonically conjugated classical variables by elements of n -dimensional Heisenberg algebras and to choose the correct order of operators involved. All expressions conserve their form at both levels after replacing the operation of usual differentiation in the case of the functional group by discrete difference in the algebra-theoretical case.

In the present paper we apply the method of Ref. [1] to the problem of construction of irreducible representations of the quantum A_n^q algebras. We try to resolve the system of commutation relations between $3n$ generators ($2n$ generators of the simple roots $X_i^\pm$ and n generators of the Cartan elements h_i)

$$[X_i^+, X_j^-] = \delta_{ij} \phi_i(h_i), \quad [h_i, X_j^\pm] = \pm k_{ji} X_j^\pm \quad (1)$$

where ϕ_i are initially arbitrary functions of their arguments.

Using the "selection rules" of the Gel'fand-Tsetlin paper [2] only, we find that in the case of $U_q(2)$, A_1^q algebra it is possible to resolve in a self-consistent way Eqs. (1) for an arbitrary function ϕ . However, already in the case of $U_q(3)$, A_2^q algebras the self-consistence of the construction leads to a unique choice of the functions ϕ_i in the standard trigonometrical form.

The notion of co-product was not used in any step of our construction. We try to conserve literally the text and presentation of the material as in Ref. [1], in order to simplify for the reader the comparison between these too very similar papers.

In sections 2 and 3 we consider the simplest cases of $U_q(2)$, $U_q(3)$ quantum algebras with detailed calculations. In section 4 we consider the case of arbitrary $U_q(n+1)$ quantum algebras. In section 5 we summarize the results and discuss the perspectives of the further investigations.

2 The cases of $A_1^q \approx SU_q(2)$ and $SU_q(2)$

Every section will be divided into two parts: the classical case (the functional group level) and proper algebraic construction ("quantum case"). As it was remarked in the introduction, the classical results may be used as good hints in further algebraic (quantum) calculations.

2.1 The functional algebra case

The functional $U_q(2)$ algebra contains four elements $X^\pm, H, I$, connected among themselves by Poisson brackets:

$$\{I, X^\pm\} = \{I, H\} = 0, \quad \{X^+, X^-\} = \phi(H), \quad \{H, X^\pm\} = \pm 2X^\pm \quad (2)$$

where ϕ is an arbitrary function of a single argument.

In correspondence with the Darboux theorem [3] out of the elements of the $U_q(2)$ functional group ([3]) it is possible to construct a pair of canonically conjugated variables M, m , $\{M, m\} = 1$ and two cyclic variables I, L which have zero Poisson brackets among themselves and with all other elements of the $U_q(2)$ functional group (clearly, up to an arbitrary canonical transformation). The explicit expression for them in terms of the functional group elements will be given a bit later.

Let us choose $M = H$ and $m = \frac{1}{4} \ln \frac{X^+}{X^-} + f(H)$. With the help of Poisson brackets (2) it is not difficult to see that thus constructed M, m are really canonically conjugated variables. Resolving these relations with respect to the functional group elements leads to the following realization in terms of the canonical conjugated coordinate m and momentum M and the two cyclic momenta L_1, L_2 :

$$\begin{aligned} X^+ &= \frac{1}{2} e^{2m} (f(\frac{L_1 - L_2}{2}) - f(H)), & X^- &= \frac{1}{2} e^{-2m} (f(\frac{L_1 - L_2}{2}) + f(H)), \\ H &= M - \frac{L_1 + L_2}{2}, & \phi(x) &= \frac{df^2}{dx} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

It is not difficult to verify by the direct calculations that (3) is indeed a realization of the functional group (2). If we want to restrict ourselves by the case of quantum A_1^q algebra it is necessary to put $I = 0$.

2.2 Quantum algebra case

As always, to pass from the classical expressions to the quantum ones it is necessary to order in some way the operators involved and replace the Poisson brackets by commutators. The equations (3) tell us about very tempting possibility to rewrite them as

$$\begin{aligned} X^+ &= \frac{1}{2} e^m (f(\frac{L_1 - L_2}{2}) - f(H)) e^m, & X^- &= \frac{1}{2} e^{-m} (f(\frac{L_1 - L_2}{2}) + f(H)) e^{-m}, \\ H &= M - \frac{L_1 + L_2}{2}, & I &= L_1 + L_2, & L &= X^+ X^- + X^- X^+ + \frac{1}{4} (f^2(H+1) + f^2(H-1)) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

and consider now M, m as generators of the Heisenberg algebra ($[M, m] = 1$, $[M, 1] = 0$, $[m, 1] = 0$), with L_1, L_2 commuting with all of the generators involved in (4). Keeping in mind the following operator relation of Heisenberg algebra, $\exp(\pm x)p \exp(\mp x) = p \mp 1$, we conclude that the generators defined in (4) satisfy the commutation relations (2) of $U_q(2)$ algebra (of course with square brackets instead of the curly ones) and $\phi = \frac{1}{4} (f^2(H+1) - f^2(H-1))$.

Two Cazimir operators under realization (4) take the constant values

$$K^{(1)} = L_1 + L_2, \quad K^{(2)} = X^+ X^- + X^- X^+ + \frac{1}{4} (f^2(H+1) + f^2(H-1)) = \frac{1}{2} f^2 \left(\frac{L_1 - L_2}{2} \right) \quad (5)$$

which proves the irreducibility of the constructed representation. In the conclusion of this section we want to stress the fact that commutation relations by themselves give no additional restrictions on the form of the f, ϕ functions.

3 $A_2^q \equiv SU_q(3)$ and $U_q(3)$ cases

In this case the problem consists in resolution of the system of commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} [X_1^+, X_1^-] &= \phi(h_1), & [X_1^+, X_2^-] &= 0 & [h_1, X_1^\pm] &= \pm 2X_1^\pm, & [h_1, X_2^\pm] &= \mp X_2^\pm \\ [X_1^+, X_2^-] &= 0, & [X_2^+, X_2^-] &= \Phi(h_2) & [h_2, X_2^\pm] &= \pm 2X_2^\pm, & [h_2, X_1^\pm] &= \mp X_1^\pm. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

We choose the arbitrary functions $\phi(h_1), \Phi(h_2)$ in correspondence with the comments in the end of the previous section.

The selection rules of GZ paper allow us to try to find a resolution of this problem in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} X_1^+ &= \frac{1}{2}e^m(f(\frac{L_1-L_2}{2}) - f(H))e^m, & X_2^+ &= e^{l_1}f^1e^{l_1} + e^{l_2}f^2e^{l_2}, \\ h_1 &= M - \frac{L_1+L_2}{2} \\ X_1^- &= \frac{1}{2}e^{-m}(f(\frac{L_1-L_2}{2}) + f(H))e^{-m}, & X_2^- &= e^{-l_1}\bar{f}^1e^{-l_1} + e^{-l_2}\bar{f}^2e^{-l_2}, \\ h_2 &= -\frac{M}{2} + L_1 + L_2 - \frac{N_1+N_2+N_3}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where all "structural" functions $f^{1,2}, \bar{f}^{1,2}$ depend only on momentum (capital letters) variables. We intentionally preserve the order of factors to avoid rewriting the same formulae for several times.

3.1 Functional group case

In this case it is necessary to understand all of the above relations at the functional group level. The commutators have to be replaced by the Poisson brackets understood as usually:

$$\{A, B\} = \sum_1^3 \left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial B}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial A}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial B}{\partial p_i} \right), \quad x_i = (m, l_1, l_2), \quad p_i = (M, L_1, L_2)$$

Now all of the objects are commutative and the order of the factors in (7) is unimportant. As a consequence of the vanishing Poisson brackets $\{X_1^+, X_2^-\} = \{X_2^+, X_1^-\} = 0$ we obtain equations determining the explicit dependence of structural functions on momentum M . Namely

$$\begin{aligned} (\ln \bar{f}^1)_M + \frac{1}{2} \frac{f'_1 + f'_2}{f_1 - f_2} &= 0, & (\ln \bar{f}^2)_M + \frac{1}{2} \frac{-f'_1 + f'_2}{f_1 - f_2} &= 0 \\ (\ln f^1)_M + \frac{1}{2} \frac{f'_1 - f'_2}{f_1 + f_2} &= 0, & (\ln f^2)_M - \frac{1}{2} \frac{f'_1 + f'_2}{f_1 + f_2} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

where $f_1 \equiv f(\frac{L_1-L_2}{2})$, $f_2 \equiv f(M - \frac{L_1+L_2}{2})$ and sign ' means differentiation of the functions f_1, f_2 with respect to their arguments.

Poisson bracket $\{X_2^+, X_2^-\} = \Phi(h_2)$ have as its corollary three additional equations:

$$\begin{aligned} (\ln \bar{f}^1)_{L_2} + (\ln f^2)_{L_1} &= 0, \quad (\ln \bar{f}^2)_{L_1} + (\ln f^1)_{L_2} = 0 \\ -2(f^1 \bar{f}^1)_{L_1} + 2(f^2 \bar{f}^2)_{L_2} &= \Phi(h_2) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The condition of selfconsistency of second pair of equations containing $\ln f, \ln \bar{f}$ with the first one leads to the functional equation with shifted arguments for a single unknown function ($X \equiv f(x), Y \equiv f(y)$):

$$(X_{xx}X + Y_{yy}Y)(X^2 - Y^2) = (X_x^2 - Y_y^2)(X^2 + Y^2) \quad (9)$$

This equation allows the exact integration and its general solution has the form (see Appendix I)

$$f(x) = \sqrt{(a + b \cosh(2\epsilon x))} \quad (10)$$

In what follows we will use a special choice of parameters (10) such that $f = \sinh \epsilon x$. This choice can be connected with the condition of the correct classical limit (in the sense $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$) in which the quantum functional algebra must pass to functional algebra A_1 . In this case it is possible to perform all the calculations up to the explicit expressions.

Resolution of all additional equations except of (8) gives the following dependence of the structure functions upon the momentum M :

$$f^1 = \cosh \epsilon \frac{L_1 - M}{2} f^1(L_1, L_2), \quad f^2 = \sinh \epsilon \frac{M - L_2}{2} f^2(L_1, L_2) \quad (11)$$

$$\bar{f}^1 = \sinh \epsilon \frac{L_1 - M}{2} \bar{f}^1(L_1, L_2), \quad \bar{f}^2 = \cosh \epsilon \frac{M - L_2}{2} \bar{f}^2(L_1, L_2)$$

where all new structure functions (for which we reserve the same notations) depend only on two arguments $x_1 \equiv L_1, x_2 \equiv L_2$.

For two unknown functions $X^1 \equiv -f^1 \bar{f}^1, X^2 \equiv f^2 \bar{f}^2$ the equation (8) (keeping in mind the form of its right-hand side) takes the form of the system of two equations:

$$(e^{\epsilon x_1} X^1)_{x_1} + (e^{\epsilon x_2} X^2)_{x_2} = e^{\epsilon(2x_1+2x_2-N)} \quad (e^{-\epsilon x_1} X^1)_{x_1} + (e^{-\epsilon x_2} X^2)_{x_2} = e^{-\epsilon(2x_1+2x_2-N)} \quad (12)$$

where $N \equiv N_1 + N_2 + N_3$.

In accordance with Ref. [1] we try to find a solution to the last system in the form:

$$X^1 = Y_{x_2}^2, \quad X^2 = Y_{x_1}^1$$

Solving the linear algebraic system of equations which arises for the functions $Y^{1,2}$, we are led to the following solution of the initial system:

$$X^1 = \frac{1}{4\epsilon^2} \left(\frac{\sinh \epsilon(2x_1 + x_2 - N)}{\sinh \epsilon(x_1 - x_2)} \right)_{x_2} + (\coth \epsilon(x_1 - x_2) \Theta(x_1) + \frac{\bar{\Theta}(x_2)}{\sinh \epsilon(x_1 - x_2)})_{x_2}$$

(13)

$$X^2 = -\frac{1}{4\epsilon^2} \left(\frac{\sinh \epsilon(x_1 + 2x_2 - N)}{\sinh \epsilon(x_1 - x_2)} \right)_{x_1} - (\coth \epsilon(x_1 - x_2) \bar{\Theta}(x_2) + \frac{\Theta(x_1)}{\sinh \epsilon(x_1 - x_2)})_{x_1}$$

This formulae are the result of the exact integration of the system (12). The remaining additional conditions follow from the corresponding equations for invariant (in the sense of independence of the momentum M) structural functions. They may be summarized as

$$(\ln X^1)_{x_2} + (\ln X^2)_{x_1} = 0 \quad (14)$$

To satisfy them it is natural to make a special choice of arbitrary functions $\Theta, \bar{\Theta}$ in the general solution (13).

After performing all operations of the differentiation in (13) we obtain for X^1

$$X^1 = \frac{1}{4\epsilon} \left(\frac{\sinh \epsilon(3x_1 - N)}{\sinh^2 \epsilon(x_1 - x_2)} \right) + \frac{\Theta(x_1)}{\sinh^2 \epsilon(x_1 - x_2)} + \frac{\sinh \epsilon(x_1 - x_2) \bar{\Theta}(x_2)_{x_2} + \cosh \epsilon(x_1 - x_2) \bar{\Theta}(x_2)}{\sinh^2 \epsilon(x_1 - x_2)}$$

If we want to satisfy additional condition (14), it is natural to find the solution in the form $X^{1,2} = \frac{\psi(x_{1,2})}{\sinh^2 \epsilon(x_1 - x_2)}$. Under such kind of assumption for functions $\Theta, \bar{\Theta}$ we uniquely obtain $\Theta = A \cosh \epsilon x + B \sinh \epsilon x$, $\bar{\Theta} = C \cosh \epsilon x + D \sinh \epsilon x$ where A, B, C, D are arbitrary numerical constants. Finally, for the function ψ which parameterizes the solution of the system (13) we obtain

$$\psi(x) = \frac{1}{4\epsilon} \sinh \epsilon(3x - N) + (A + C) \sinh \epsilon x + (B + D) \cosh \epsilon x$$

The last expression for ψ may be parameterized in the following form:

$$\psi(x) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \sinh \epsilon(x - N_1) \sinh \epsilon(x - N_2) \sinh \epsilon(x - N_3)$$

as one can see representing $\sinh$ as the difference of the exponents with further multiplication term by term.

3.2 The quantum group case

Now in the realization (7) we consider (m, l_1, l_2) as coordinates and (M, L_1, L_2) as momenta of the three independent (mutually comutative) Heisenberg algebras, and (N_1, N_1, N_2) as "cyclic" variables that commute with all other generators involved. We choose $f = a \sinh \epsilon x$, since the quantum group must have as its classical limit the functional group of the previous subsection.

Commutation relations $[X_1^+, X_2^-] = 0$, $[X_2^+, X_1^-] = 0$ allow us to reconstruct the dependence of structural functions $f^{1,2}, \bar{f}^{1,2}$ upon the momentum M exactly in the form (11).

The only unused up to now commutation relation

$$[X_2^+, X_2^-] = a \sinh \epsilon(M - 2(L_1 + L_2) + N_1 + N_2 + N_3)$$

together with (7) has as its consequence an additional system of equations determining the structural functions:

$$f^1(L_1, L_2 - 1)\bar{f}^2(L_1 - 1, L_2) = f^1(L_1, L_2 + 1)\bar{f}^2(L_1 + 1, L_2), \quad (15)$$

$$\bar{f}^1(L_1, L_2 - 1)f^2(L_1 - 1, L_2) = \bar{f}^1(L_1, L_2 + 1)f^2(L_1 + 1, L_2)$$

Finally, we will present the last remaining equation using the following notations, $X_{\pm}^1 \equiv -f^1(L_1 \pm 1, L_2)\bar{f}^1(L_1 \pm 1, L_2)$, $X_{\pm}^2 \equiv f^2(L_1, L_2 \pm 1)\bar{f}^2(L_1, L_2 \pm 1)$ for new unknown functions and $x_1 \equiv L_1$, $x_2 \equiv L_2$ for new coordinates. The equation takes on the form:

$$-\sinh \epsilon(x_1 - M - 1)X_-^1 + \sinh \epsilon(x_1 - M + 1)X_+^1 - \sinh \epsilon(M - L_2 + 1)X_-^2 + \sinh \epsilon(M - L_2 - 1)X_+^2 = 2a \sinh \epsilon(M - 2(L_1 + L_2) + N_1 + N_2 + N_3) \quad (16)$$

After resolution of the last equation (equating terms with $\sinh M, \cosh M$ on both sides) we come to a system of two equation for determining the two unknown functions $X^{1,2}$:

$$\begin{aligned} -e^{\epsilon(x_1-1)}X_-^1 + e^{\epsilon(x_1+1)}X_+^1 - e^{\epsilon(x_2-1)}X_-^2 + e^{\epsilon(x_2+1)}X_+^2 &= 2e^{\epsilon(2x_1+2x_2-N)} \\ -e^{-\epsilon(x_1-1)}X_-^1 + e^{-\epsilon(x_1+1)}X_+^1 - e^{-\epsilon(x_2-1)}X_-^2 + e^{-\epsilon(x_2+1)}X_+^2 &= 2e^{-\epsilon(2x_1+2x_2+N)} \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where $N = N_1 + N_2 + N_3$.

To solve the last system we introduce the operation of discrete differentiation as

$$\Delta_i F(x_1, \dots, x_n) \equiv \frac{F(x_1, \dots, x_i + 1, \dots, x_n) - F(x_1, \dots, x_i - 1, \dots, x_n)}{2}$$

These operations mutually commute $\Delta_i \Delta_j = \Delta_j \Delta_i$, and posses the linearity properties in the following sense

$$\Delta_i(F^1 + F^2) = \Delta_i F^1 + \Delta_i F^2, \quad \Delta_i(CF) = C\Delta_i F$$

if the function C is independent of the x_i coordinate.

The system (16),(17) may be rewritten in terms of these operations as

$$\Delta_1(e^{\epsilon x_1} X^1) + \Delta_2(e^{\epsilon x_2} X^2) = e^{\epsilon(2x_1+2x_2-N)}$$

$$\Delta_1(e^{-\epsilon x_1} X^1) + \Delta_2(e^{-\epsilon x_2} X^2) = e^{-\epsilon(2x_1+2x_2-N)}$$

As in previous subsection, we seek for a solution of the last system in the form

$$X^1 = \Delta_2(Y^2), \quad X^2 = \Delta_1(Y^1)$$

Keeping in mind the relation (which can be easily checked)

$$\Delta_2 \Delta_1 e^{\epsilon(2x_1+2x_2)} = (\sinh 2\epsilon)^2 e^{\epsilon(2x_1+2x_2)}$$

we obtain the linear system of algebraic equations determining Y^1, Y^2 . After resolution of this system, the solution of the initial system takes the form

$$X^1 = \Delta_2 \left(\frac{1}{\sinh^2 2\epsilon} \frac{\sinh \epsilon(2x_1 + x_2 - N)}{\sinh \epsilon(x_1 - x_2)} + \coth \epsilon(x_1 - x_2) \Theta(x_1) + \frac{\bar{\Theta}(x_2)}{\sinh \epsilon(x_1 - x_2)} \right) \quad (18)$$

$$X^2 = -\Delta_1 \left(\frac{1}{\sinh^2 2\epsilon} \frac{\sinh \epsilon(x_1 + 2x_2 - N)}{\sinh \epsilon(x_1 - x_2)} + \coth \epsilon(x_1 - x_2) \bar{\Theta}(x_2) + \frac{\Theta(x_1)}{\sinh \epsilon(x_1 - x_2)} \right)$$

After all necessary calculations we obtain from (18) the finally expression:

$$X^1 = \frac{1}{2 \sinh 2\epsilon} \frac{\sinh \epsilon(3x_1 - N)}{\sinh \epsilon(x_1 - x_2 - 1) \sinh \epsilon(x_1 - x_2 + 1)} + \frac{\sinh 2\epsilon \Theta(x_1) + \bar{\Theta}(x_2 + 1) \sinh \epsilon(x_1 - x_2 + 1) - \bar{\Theta}(x_2 - 1) \sinh \epsilon(x_1 - x_2 - 1)}{\sinh \epsilon(x_1 - x_2 - 1) \sinh \epsilon(x_1 - x_2 + 1)} \quad (19)$$

and the same kind of expression for X^2 . If we want to satisfy the additional condition (15) which can be rewritten in the form

$$X^1(L_1, L_2 - 1) X^2(L_1 - 1, L_2) = X^1(L_1, L_2 + 1) X^2(L_1 + 1, L_2)$$

then it is necessary to find a solution as

$$X^1 = \frac{\psi_1(x_1)}{\phi(x_1 - x_2)}, \quad X^2 = \frac{\psi_2(x_2)}{\phi(x_1 - x_2)} \quad (20)$$

It is easy to see that with this form of solution the additional condition (15) is satisfied automatically. Comparing Eqs. (19) and (20) we come to the unique possible choice of the function $(\Theta, \bar{\Theta})$,

$$\Theta(x) = A \sinh x + B \cosh x, \quad \bar{\Theta}(x) = C \sinh x + D \cosh x$$

And as consequence we obtain $\psi_1(x) = \psi_2(x) = \sinh \epsilon(3x - N) + p \sinh \epsilon x + q \cosh \epsilon x \equiv \sinh \epsilon(x - N_1) \sinh \epsilon(x - N_2) \sinh \epsilon(x - N_3)$. Finally, the solution of the initial system satisfying all necessary additional conditions may be written in the form:

$$X^1 = \frac{\sinh \epsilon(x_1 - N_1) \sinh \epsilon(x_1 - N_2) \sinh \epsilon(x_1 - N_3)}{2 \sinh 2\epsilon \sinh \epsilon(x_1 - x_2 - 1) \sinh \epsilon(x_1 - x_2 + 1)}, \quad (21)$$

$$X^2 = \frac{\sinh \epsilon(x_2 - N_1) \sinh \epsilon(x_2 - N_2) \sinh \epsilon(x_2 - N_3)}{2 \sinh 2\epsilon \sinh \epsilon(x_1 - x_2 - 1) \sinh \epsilon(x_1 - x_2 + 1)}$$

4 General case of arbitrary n

4.1 The algebra representation level

Let us assume that the generators of the simple roots and Cartan elements of $U(n+1)$ algebra may be presented in the form

$$X_s^+ = \sum_{k=1}^s e^{l_k^s} g_k^s e^{l_k^s}, \quad X_s^- = \sum_{k=1}^s e^{-l_k^s} \bar{g}_k^s e^{-l_k^s} \quad (22)$$

$$h_s = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^{s-1} L_r^{s-1} + \sum_{k=1}^s L_k^s - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{s+1} L_l^{s+1} \quad 1 \leq s \leq n$$

where different from zero commutators of the operators involved are

$$[L_k^s, L_l^t] = \delta_{st} \delta_{kl} I$$

We assume that the structural functions are the "factorizable" and as functions of their arguments may be represented in the following form:

$$g_k^s = F_k^s(L^{s+1}, L^s) f_k^s(L^{s-1}, L^{s-1}) \quad \bar{g}_k^s = \bar{F}_k^s(L^{s+1}, L^s) \bar{f}_k^s(L^{s-1}, L^{s-1})$$

The reader without any difficulty may identify L^1 with M , L^2 with L_1, L_2 and L^3 with N_1, N_2, N_3 from the previous section.

We assume also that all necessary commutation relations for $1 \leq s \leq (n-1)$ are correctly satisfied and:

$$(F_k^{n-1})^2 = (\bar{F}_k^{n-1})^2 = \frac{\prod_{r=1}^n \sinh \epsilon(L_k^{n-1} - L_r^n)}{\Phi(L^{n-1})}$$

where function Φ is translation invariant with respect to the simultaneous shift of all of the arguments L_k^{n-1} . We to prove by induction that the squares of the structural functions $F_i^n = \bar{F}_i^n$ conserve their form and find the explicit expressions for the denominator.

It is obvious that under the above restrictions the commutation relations between generators of Cartan subalgebra h_l and generators of the simple roots $X_k^\pm$ are correctly satisfied. It is also clear that the generators $X_n^\pm$ commute with all generators $X_k^\mp$ with $1 \leq k \leq (n-2)$ because they act on essential different arguments. And at last, the commutation relations

$$[X_n^\pm, X_{n-1}^\mp] = 0$$

allow us to reconstruct the explicit dependence of structural functions $f_k^n, \bar{f}_k^n$ on their arguments L_k^{n-1} .

As a direct corollary of the last commutation relations we have:

$$\begin{aligned} f_k^n(L^n; \dots, L_r^{n-1}-1, \dots) \bar{F}_r^{n-1}(\dots, L_k^n-1, \dots; L^{n-1}) &= f_k^n(L^n; \dots, L_r^{n-1}+1, \dots) \bar{F}_r^{n-1}(\dots, L_k^n+1, \dots; L^{n-1}) \\ \bar{f}_k^n(L^n; \dots, L_r^{n-1}-1, \dots) F_r^{n-1}(\dots, L_k^n-1, \dots; L^{n-1}) &= \bar{f}_k^n(L^n; \dots, L_r^{n-1}+1, \dots) F_r^{n-1}(\dots, L_k^n+1, \dots; L^{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

which must be satisfied for all the possible choices of k and r indexes. Keeping in mind the explicit form of the structural functions $F_k^{n-1} = \bar{F}_k^{n-1}$ as assumed above, we can to resolve the last equations in the form:

$$g_k^n = F_k^n(L^{n+1}; L^n) \sqrt{\prod_{r=1}^{n-1} \sinh \epsilon(L_k^n - L_r^{n-1})}, \quad \bar{g}_k^n = \bar{F}_k^n(L^{s+1}; L^s) \sqrt{\prod_{r=1}^{s-1} \sinh \epsilon(L_k^n - L_r^{n-1})} \quad (23)$$

The only commutation relation which has not satisfied up to now, is

$$[X_n^+, X_n^-] = \sinh \epsilon(h_n) \quad (24)$$

It consists of "diagonal" part (which doesn't contain the coordinates of Heisenberg subalgebras l_i) and nondiagonal one (in above sense). The arised equation for the diagonal part can be naturally written using notations:

$$X_k^{\pm n} = F_k^n(L^{n+1}; \dots, L_k^n \pm 1, \dots) \bar{F}_k^n(L^{n+1}; \dots, L_k^n \pm 1, \dots) \\ \sum_{k=1}^n \prod_{r=1}^{n-1} \sinh \epsilon(L_k^n + 1 - L_r^{n-1}) X_k^{+n} - \sum_{k=1}^n \prod_{r=1}^{n-1} \sinh \epsilon(L_k^n - 1 - L_r^{n-1}) X_k^{-n} = \quad (25) \\ \sinh \epsilon \left(\sum_{r=1}^{n-1} L_r^{n-1} + 2 \sum_{k=1}^n L_k^n - \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} L_j^{n+1} \right)$$

The unknown functions $X_k^{\pm n}$ depend only on L^{n+1}, L^n variables (as it follows from their definition) and thus, with the respect to the variables L^{n-1} (25) they must be satisfied identically. Let us consider the structure of the product in left-hand side of (25) representing each hyperbolic function as a sum of two exponents:

$$\prod_{r=1}^{n-1} \sinh \epsilon(x - L_r^{n-1}) = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} A_k e^{\epsilon[(n-1)-2k]x}$$

It is clear that that $A_0 = e^{-\epsilon \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} L_r^{n-1}}$, $A_{n-1} = (-1)^{n-1} e^{\epsilon \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} L_r^{n-1}}$ and all other A_k are some complicate symmetrical functions constructed from the components of the $(n-1)$ -dimensional vector L^{n-1} . In the right-hand side of (25) (after the decomposition of the hyperbolic function) the dependence on L^{n-1} is concentrated only in the two exponents. Thus equating the coefficients at such terms in both sides of (25) we come to the system of n equations for X_k^n functions (we introduce notations $L_k^{n-1} \equiv x_k$ and for a time put $\epsilon = 1$):

$$\sum_{k=1}^n [e^{(n-1)(x_k+1)} X_k^{+n} - e^{(n-1)(x_k-1)} X_k^{-n}] = 2^{n-2} e^{2 \sum_{k=1}^n x_k - \sum_{j=1}^{s+1} L_j^{s+1}} \\ \sum_{k=1}^n [e^{s(x_k+1)} X_k^{+s} - e^{s(x_k-1)} X_k^{-s}] = 0, \quad s \equiv n-1-2r, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots, (n-2) \quad (26) \\ \sum_{k=1}^n [e^{-(n-1)(x_k+1)} X_k^{+n} - e^{-(n-1)(x_k-1)} X_k^{-n}] = (-1)^n 2^{n-2} e^{-2 \sum_{k=1}^n x_k + \sum_{j=1}^{s+1} L_j^{s+1}}$$

The zero value of "nondiagonal" part of (24) is equivalent to additional conditions which structural functions $F, \bar{F}$ must satisfy:

$$F_k^n(L^{n+1}; \dots, L_j^n - 1, \dots) \bar{F}_j^n(L^{n+1}; \dots, L_k^n - 1, \dots) = F_k^n(L^{n+1}; \dots, L_j^n + 1, \dots) \bar{F}_j^n(L^{n+1}; \dots, L_k^n + 1, \dots) \quad (27)$$

$$\bar{F}_k^n(L^{n+1}; \dots, L_j^n - 1, \dots) F_j^n(L^{n+1}; \dots, L_k^n - 1, \dots) = \bar{F}_k^n(L^{n+1}; \dots, L_j^n + 1, \dots) F_j^n(L^{n+1}; \dots, L_k^n + 1, \dots)$$

From (27) we see that the solution $F_j^n = \bar{F}_j^n$ is the possible one and functions $X_k^n \equiv X^k$ being the solution of (25)), must satisfy additional conditions:

$$X^k(L^{n+1}; \dots, L_j^n - 1, \dots) X^j(L^{n+1}; \dots, L_k^n - 1, \dots) = X^k(L^{n+1}; \dots, L_j^n + 1, \dots) X^j(L^{n+1}; \dots, L_k^n + 1, \dots) \quad (28)$$

The reader can easily obtain from general equations of the present subsection all results of the previous section for the case $n = 2$. At this point we interrupt our consideration for a moment to represent general solution of continuous version of equations (26).

4.2 General solution of linear system in the continuous limit

In this subsection we give a general solution of the system (26) in continuous limit or at the level of the functional group approach. In this limit the system (26) may be obviously rewritten as:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n (e^{(n-1)x_k} X^k)_{x_k} &= -2^{n-2} e^{2 \sum_{k=1}^n x_k - L_{n+1}} \\ \sum_{k=1}^n (e^{sx_k} X^k)_{x_k} &= 0, \quad s \equiv n - 1 - 2r, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots, (n - 2) \\ \sum_{k=1}^n (e^{-(n-1)x_k} X^k)_{x_k} &= (-1)^{n-1} 2^{n-2} e^{-2 \sum_{k=1}^n x_k + L_{n+1}} \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

(compare with the the corresponding equation (12) of the previous section). We have introduce the following abbreviation, $\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} L_j^{n+1} \equiv L_{n+1}$.

Keeping in mind the known for us ways of resolution of the systems of such kind [1] we find the solution in the form:

$$X^i = (Y^i)_{x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n}$$

After substitution of these expressions in (29) we come to the linear system of algebraic equations with the known right-hand side (we have used the obvious relation $(e^{2 \sum_{k=1}^n x_k - L_{n+1}})_{x_1, \dots, x_n} = 2^n e^{2 \sum_{k=1}^n x_k - L_{n+1}}$), to determine the unknown functions Y^i :

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n e^{(n-1)x_k} Y^k &= 2^{-3} e^{2 \sum_{k=1}^n x_k - L_{n+1}} + \Phi^{n-1} \\ \sum_{k=1}^n e^{sx_k} Y^k &= \Phi^s, \quad s \equiv n - 1 - 2r, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots, (n - 2) \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n e^{-(n-1)x_k} Y^k = 2^{-3} e^{-2 \sum_{k=1}^n x_k + L_{n+1}} + \Phi^{-n+1}$$

All functions Φ^{n-1-2s} , $0 \leq s \leq (n-1)$ are solutions of the single equation $\Phi_{x_1, \dots, x_n} = 0$ (differentiation with the respect to all arguments of the problem!).

The following notations will be useful for resolution of (30). Let W_r be the coefficient of the polynomial of $(n-1)$ degree expressed by means its roots:

$$P_{n-1}(z) = W_0 z^{n-1} + W_1 z^{n-2} + \dots + W_{n-1} = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (z - z_k), \quad (W_0 = 1)$$

We denote the coefficients of the polynomial as $W_r^{(k)}$; the $(n-1)$ roots of this polynomial coincide with the $(n-1)$ exponents e^{2x_i} except of only one e^{2x_k} .

In this notations resolution of the algebraic system of equations (30) may be represented as:

$$Y^k = \frac{1}{2^2} \frac{(\sinh) \cosh(2x_k + \sum_{i=1}^k x_i - L_{n+1})}{\prod_{i=1}^n \sinh(x_k - x_i)} + \frac{\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \Phi^{(n-1-2s)} W_s^{(k)}}{\prod_{i=1}^n \sinh(x_k - x_i)}$$

In the numenator of the last expression the sign of cosh arises in the case of odd $n = 2r+1$, and the sign sinh – in the case of even $n = 2r$, and $L_{n+1} = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} L_j^{n+1}$. By consequent differentiation of Y^k with respect to all independent arguments, except of x_k , we come to the explicit solution of the initial system (30):

$$X^k = \frac{1}{2^2} \frac{(\sinh) \cosh((n+1)x_k - L_{n+1})}{\prod_{i=1}^n \sinh^2(x_k - x_i)} + \left(\frac{\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \Phi^{(n-1-2s)} W_s^{(k)}}{\prod_{i=1}^n \sinh^2(x_k - x_i)} \right)_{x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n} \quad (31)$$

The first term in (31) is a particular solution of the inhomogeneous system (30), the second one is a general solution of the homogeneous part of it. Of course, after performing all of the differentiations, the general solution will contain only one single function which satisfies the scalar equation $(\Phi)_{x_1, \dots, x_n} = 0$.

Below we demonstrate only a particular solution of the homogeneous system, sufficient for the aim to satisfy all necessary additional conditions of our problem. We will directly show that:

$$X^i = \frac{e^{[(n+1)-2s]x_i}}{\prod_{k=1}^n \sinh^2(x_i - x_k)} = (e^{[(n+1)-2s]x_i} \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{e^{2x_i} + e^{2x_k}}{e^{2x_i} - e^{2x_k}})_{x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n}, \quad 2 \leq s \leq (n-1) \quad (32)$$

In the transformation above we have used the equality $(\coth(x_i - x_k))_{x_k} = \sinh^{-2}(x_i - x_k)$ and the decomposition of sinh, cosh functions into two exponents. Replacing X^i in such a form in the left-hand side of the system (30) we have:

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^n e^{[(n+1)-2s+(n-1)-2r]x_k} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{e^{2x_k} + e^{2x_i}}{e^{2x_k} - e^{2x_i}} \right)_{x_1, \dots, x_n}, \quad 0 \leq r \leq (n-1) \quad (33)$$

In all cases from restrictions on s, r we have $-n+2 \leq n-r-s \leq n-2$. In the further transformations of the last expression is suitable to distinguish between two different

cases: $0 \leq n - r - s \leq n - 2$ and $-n + 2 \leq n - r - s \leq 0$. In the first one we introduce $\lambda_i \equiv e^{2x_i}$ and rewrite (33) in the form

$$2^n(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k^{(n-s-r)} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda_k + \lambda_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda_k - \lambda_i)} \right)_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n}, \quad 0 \leq n - r - s \leq n - 2$$

and in the second case we will get the same expression (up to unessential factors) introducing $\lambda_i \equiv e^{-2x_i}$.

In both cases we have a symmetrical function under the sign of differentiation. Reducing to a common denominator we obtain the ratio of two n dimensional polynomial functions, one of which (denominator) is exactly the Vandermonde determinant (the single function which is antisymmetrical with respect to permutation of each pair of coordinates). Thus, the nominator must also be an antisymmetrical polynomial (since the ratio is a symmetrical one!) This is impossible if $n - r - s$ is less than $n - 1$ (the degree of nominator in this case is less than the degree of Vandermonde determinant).

We thus prove that (32) is a particular solution of homogeneous system (30).

Adding the particular solution (32) to the particular solution of inhomogeneous ones (31) we come to the following solution of inhomogeneous system (30) which satisfies all necessary additional conditions as one can check directly:

$$X^k = \frac{1}{2^2} \frac{(\sinh) \cosh((n+1)x_k - L_{n+1}) + \sum_{s=1}^{n-2} (A_s e^{((n+1)x_k - 2s)} + B_s e^{(-(n+1)x_k + 2s})})}{\prod_{i=1}^n \sinh^2(x_k - x_i)} \equiv \frac{1}{2^2} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \sinh(x_k - L_j^{n+1})}{\prod_{i=1}^n \sinh^2(x_k - x_i)} \quad (34)$$

One can be convinced in the possibility of the last representation by decomposition of $\sinh$ into two exponents in each factor of the product with further multiplication by terms.

The last representation for X^n proves the accomplish the induction procedure in the case of the functional group.

4.3 Solution of the problem in the discrete case

In this subsection we present the solution of the finite difference system (26) together with all additional conditions (28) and prove by this way the induction assumption.

As the reader will see, the most surprising feature of such an approach is that both the calculations themselves and the final result are not changed essentially in comparison with the continuous functional group case.

Being rewritten in terms of the operation of discrete differentiation (see subsection 3 of the previous section) system (26) takes the form

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \Delta_k (e^{(n-1)x_k} X^k) = -2^{n-3} e^{2 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - L_{n+1}}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \Delta_k (e^{sx_k} X^k) = 0, \quad s \equiv (n-1-2r), \quad r = 1, 2, \dots, (n-2) \quad (35)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \Delta_k (e^{-(n-1)x_k} X^k) = (-1)^n 2^{n-3} e^{-2 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + L_{n+1}}$$

Let us seek for the solution of the last system in the usual for us form:

$$X^k = (Y^k)_{x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n}$$

Replacin this expression in (35) and using the obvious equality $\Delta_i e^{\pm 2x_i} = \pm \sinh 2 e^{\pm 2x_i}$ we come to linear algebraic equations for determining unknown functions Y^k :

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n e^{(n-1)x_k} Y^k &= 2^{n-3} (\sinh 2)^{-n} e^{2 \sum_{k=1}^n x_k - L_{n+1}} + \Phi^{n-1} \\ \sum_{k=1}^n e^{sx_k} Y^k &= \Phi^s, \quad s \equiv n-1-2r, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots, (n-2) \\ \sum_{k=1}^n e^{-(n-1)x_k} Y^k &= -2^{n-3} (\sinh 2)^{-n} e^{-2 \sum_{k=1}^n x_k + L_{n+1}} + \Phi^{-n+1} \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

where all Φ^a are solutions of the same single equation

$$(\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \dots, \Delta_n) \Phi^a = 0$$

In the last expression the discrete differentiation is performed with respect to all coordinates of the problem.

The system (36) coincides up to the unessential factors with (30). Thus, its general solution (up to the obvious corrections) is the same as (31) (of course with discrete differentiation).

In performing the last operation the following equality is necessary

$$\Delta_i \frac{(\cosh) \sinh(x_i + A)}{\sinh(x_i - x_k)} = \frac{\sinh 2}{2} \frac{(\cosh) \sinh(x_k + A)}{\sinh(x_i - x_k - 1) \sinh(x_i - x_k + 1)}$$

Finally for the solution of (35) we obtain:

$$X^k = \frac{1}{2 \sinh 2 \prod_{i=1}^n \sinh(x_k - x_i - 1) \sinh(x_k - x_i + 1)} + X_0^k \quad (37)$$

where X_0^k is general solution of the homogeneous system.

As the reader may notice, the only difference compared to the continuous case is the appearance of the product of sinh functions with shifted arguments in denominator at the place of the single square.

As in the continuous case it is not difficult to verify that the form $X^k = \frac{\Psi(x_k)}{\Phi(x_k - x_i)}$ is selfconsistent with all additional restrictions. We want to show now that the corresponding solution of a homogeneous system (the second term in (37)) may be chosen.

By the same way and methods we show that the homogeneous system possesses the solutions of the form

$$X^i = \frac{e^{[(n+1)-2s]x_i}}{\prod_{k=1}^n \sinh(x_i - x_k - 1) \sinh(x_i - x_k + 1)} = \Delta_1 \dots \Delta_{i-1} \Delta_{i+1} \dots \Delta_n (e^{[(n+1)-2s]x_i} \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{e^{2x_i} + e^{2x_k}}{e^{2x_i} - e^{2x_k}}),$$

$$2 \leq s \leq (n-1) \quad (38)$$

Taking the sum of this solution and the particular solution of the inhomogeneous system (37) we obtain X^k in the factorized form,

$$X^k = \frac{1}{2 \sinh 2} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \sinh(x_k - L_j^{n+1})}{\prod_{i=1}^n \sinh(x_k - x_i - 1) \sinh(x_k - x_i + 1)}$$

The last expression accomplishes the prove of the induction procedure in the quantum algebra case.

5 Outlook

The main result of the present paper consists in the explicit realization of irreducible representations of quantum algebras A_n^q of the semisimple serie. The method used by us is essentially different from the comonly used approaches to the solution of this problem in literature. Among the fundamental suggestions we use only the Gel'fand-Zeitlin selection rules and commutation relations among generators of the simple roots and Cartan elements of the A_n^q algebra with arbitrary functional dependence in principal commutation relation $[X_i^+, X_j^-] = \delta_{ij} \phi_i(h_i)$.

Already for the case of A_2^q algebra (and for all A_n^q , $n > 2$) such construction is selfconsistant only in the case of the the choice of ϕ_i functions in the standard trigonometrical form. No additional assumption about the existence of a co-product is made. In fact, the result can be obtained at the level of the functional group (classic limit) and the only thing which is necessary is to check it at the algebra-theoretical level.

From the physical point of view this means that the quasi-classical approach in fact leads to a correct quantum result. We are in a position to describe this situation but would not like to make final conclusions at this step.

6 Acknowledgements

Author is indebted to the Instituto de Investigaciones en Matemáticas Aplicadas y en Sistemas, UNAM and especially to its director Dr. I. Herrera for beautiful conditions for his work. Permanent company and discussions with N. Atakishiyev, S.M. Chumakov, K.B. Wolf and P. Winternitz allow to the author to finish this paper in the shortest time.

7 Appendix I

Choosing the new unknown function sas $F^1(X) \equiv X_x^2$, $F^2(Y) = Y_y^2$ and $x_1 = X^2$, $x_2 = Y^2$ as new independent coordinates, we rewrite (9) in the form of linear equation:

$$(x_1 F_{x_1}^1 + x_2 F_{x_2}^2)(x_1 - x_2) = (F^1 - F^2)(x_1 + x_2)$$

It possesses the three obvious solutions $F = x$, $F = 1$, $F = \frac{1}{x}$. Their linear combination with arbitrary coefficients is also a solution. This solution is the general one. Indeed, differentiating twice the last equation with respect, say, to x_1 coordinate we come to a system of selfconsistent equations of the form presented above.

References

- [1] A.N. Leznov, *To the Gel'fand-Tsetlin Realization of Irreducible Representations of Classical Semisimple Algebras*, Preprint of IIMAS – UNAM, No. 77, Febrero, 1998.
- [2] I.M. Gel'fand and M.L. Tsetlin Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **71**, 825-828 (1950); Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **71**, 1017-1020 (1950).
- [3] L.P. Eisenhart, *Continuous Groups of Transformations*, Princeton N.J.: Princeton University Press, 1933.
- [4] A.N. Leznov, I.A. Malkin and V.I. Man'ko *Canonical transformations and representation theory of Lie groups*. Trudy FIAN **96**, 24-72 (1977).